Modelyze: Embedding Equation-Based DSLs

SYNCHRON'13

Dagstuhl, Germany, November 20, 2013

David Broman

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

EECS Department UC Berkeley, USA and Linköping University, Sweden

Modelyze contributors

David Broman Jeremey G. Siek Hokeun Kim



Part II

Modelyze Overview







What is Modelyze?

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu





Overview of the Compilation and Simulation Process



7



Related Work

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

Implementing DSLsCompiler construction• JastAdd (Ekman & Hedin, 2007)• MetaModelica (Pop & Fritzson, 2006)Preprocessing and template metaprogramming	 Combining Dynamic and Static Typing Gradual Typing (Siek & Taha, 2007) Soft Typing (Cartwright & Fagan, 1991) Dynamic type with typecase (Abadi et al., 1991) Typed Scheme, Racket (Tobin-Hochstadt, Felleisen, 2008)
 C++ Templates (Veldhuizen, 1995) Template Haskell (Sheard & Peyton Jones, 2002) Stratego/XP (Bravenboer et al., 2008) 	Thorn, like types (Wrigstad et al., 2010) Representing Code and Data type
Embedded DSLs	Representing bode and bata type
 Haskell DSELs, e.g., Fran (Ellito & Hudak, 1997), Lava (Bjesse et al. 1998), and Paradise(Augustsson, 2008) FHM (Nilsson et al., 2003) ForSyDe (Sander & Jantsch, 2004) Pure embedding (Higher-order functions, polymorphism, lazy evaluation, type classes) (Hudak, 1998) 	 Dynamic languages LISP, Mathematica MetaML <t> (Taha & Sheard, 2000)</t> GADT (Peyton Jones et al.,2006; Xi et al., 2003; Cheney & Ralf, 2003) Open Data types (Löh & Hinze, 2006) Pattern Calculus (Jay, 2009) Syntactic library (Axelsson, 2012)

Part I Modelyze Overview Part II Formal Semantics Part III Modelyze Demo

Pendulum Example

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu



Declarative Mathematical Model



Part I Modelyze Overview Part II Formal Semantics Part III Modelyze Demo 10

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

Declarative Mathematical Model

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu



Release the user from annotation burden broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

Modelyze

Overview



Semantics

Modelyze

Demo

11

Symbol Lifting Analysis (SLA)

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

Symbol Lifting Analysis (SLA): During type checking, lift expressions that cannot be safely evaluated at runtime into symbolic expressions (data).







Static Error Checking at the DSL Level

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu



Mechatronic Control Example (ModelyzeEOO)



Modelyze Overview Part II Formal Semantics Part III Modelyze Demo 15

16

Mechatronic Control Example





broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

Part II Formal Semantics

 $\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_{L} e_{1} \rightsquigarrow e_{1}' : <\tau_{11} \rightarrow \tau_{12} > \\ \Gamma \vdash_{L} e_{2} \rightsquigarrow e_{2}' : \tau_{2} \\ \lceil e_{2}' : \tau_{2} \rceil = e_{2}'' \\ <\tau_{11} > \sim \lceil \tau_{2} \rceil \end{array}$ (L-APP5)



Intermediate Languages

Modelyze

Overview

broman@eecs.berkeley.edu

20

To enable formalization and proving type soundness, we define three intermediate languages.



Formal

Semantics

Modelyze

Demo



Proposition 3 (Symbolic Lifting Preserves Types). If $\Gamma \vdash_L e \rightsquigarrow e' : \tau$ then e' is well typed in Γ at type τ .

Proposition 4 (Cast Insertion Preserves Types). If $\Gamma \vdash_C e \rightsquigarrow e' : \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau$.

Lemma 3 (Progress). If $\vdash e : \tau$ then $e \in Values$, or for all S there exists S' and e' such that $e \mid S \longrightarrow e' \mid S'$, or e = error.

Lemma 7 (Preservation). If $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau$ and $e \mid S \longrightarrow e' \mid S'$ then $\Gamma \vdash e': \tau$.

Parti	
Modelyze	
Overview	

- . .

Part III Modelyze Demo







See journal preprint:

David Broman and Jeremy G. Siek. **Modelyze: a Gradually Typed Host Language for Embedding Equation-Based Modeling Languages**", Preprint, Submitted to Science of Computer Programming. Available as Tech. Report UCB/EECS-2012-173, University of California, Berkeley, June, 2012.

Open source implementation: http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/~broman/

Part I	Part II	Part III
Modelyze	Formal	Modelyze
Overview	Semantics	Demo